

Primitive ideals and finite W -algebras of low rank

Jonathan Brown (joint work with Simon Goodwin)

SUNY Oneonta

June 4, 2018

Primitive ideals and associated varieties

- \mathfrak{g} is a semisimple finite dimensional complex Lie algebra.
- Question: What are the simple \mathfrak{g} -modules?
- Easier question: What are the primitive ideals of $U(\mathfrak{g})$?
(An ideal in some algebra is *primitive* if it is the annihilator of a simple module).
- Duflo: Every primitive ideal in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is equal to the annihilator of a simple highest weight module.
- Joseph: If I is a primitive ideal $U(\mathfrak{g})$ then the associated variety $\mathcal{VA}(I) = \overline{G \cdot e}$, the closure of a nilpotent orbit.
($\mathcal{VA}(I) = Z(I)$, when we consider I to be contained in $S(\mathfrak{g}^*) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$.)
- In summary:
 $\{\text{simple } \mathfrak{g}\text{-modules}\} \rightsquigarrow \text{Prim } U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightsquigarrow \{\text{nilpotent orbits}\}$.
- Finite W -algebras fit in quite nicely with this picture.

Primitive ideals and associated varieties

- \mathfrak{g} is a semisimple finite dimensional complex Lie algebra.
- Question: What are the simple \mathfrak{g} -modules?
- Easier question: What are the primitive ideals of $U(\mathfrak{g})$?
(An ideal in some algebra is *primitive* if it is the annihilator of a simple module).
- Duflo: Every primitive ideal in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is equal to the annihilator of a simple highest weight module.
- Joseph: If I is a primitive ideal $U(\mathfrak{g})$ then the associated variety $\mathcal{VA}(I) = \overline{G \cdot e}$, the closure of a nilpotent orbit.
($\mathcal{VA}(I) = Z(I)$, when we consider I to be contained in $S(\mathfrak{g}^*) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$.)
- In summary:
 $\{\text{simple } \mathfrak{g}\text{-modules}\} \rightarrow \text{Prim } U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \{\text{nilpotent orbits}\}$.
- Finite W -algebras fit in quite nicely with this picture.

Primitive ideals and associated varieties

- \mathfrak{g} is a semisimple finite dimensional complex Lie algebra.
- Question: What are the simple \mathfrak{g} -modules?
- Easier question: What are the primitive ideals of $U(\mathfrak{g})$?
(An ideal in some algebra is *primitive* if it is the annihilator of a simple module).
- Duflo: Every primitive ideal in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is equal to the annihilator of a simple highest weight module.
- Joseph: If I is a primitive ideal $U(\mathfrak{g})$ then the associated variety $\mathcal{VA}(I) = \overline{G \cdot e}$, the closure of a nilpotent orbit.
($\mathcal{VA}(I) = Z(I)$, when we consider I to be contained in $S(\mathfrak{g}^*) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$.)
- In summary:
 $\{\text{simple } \mathfrak{g}\text{-modules}\} \rightsquigarrow \text{Prim } U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightsquigarrow \{\text{nilpotent orbits}\}$.
- Finite W -algebras fit in quite nicely with this picture.

Primitive ideals and associated varieties

- \mathfrak{g} is a semisimple finite dimensional complex Lie algebra.
- Question: What are the simple \mathfrak{g} -modules?
- Easier question: What are the primitive ideals of $U(\mathfrak{g})$?
(An ideal in some algebra is *primitive* if it is the annihilator of a simple module).
- Duflo: Every primitive ideal in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is equal to the annihilator of a simple highest weight module.
- Joseph: If I is a primitive ideal $U(\mathfrak{g})$ then the associated variety $\mathcal{VA}(I) = \overline{G \cdot e}$, the closure of a nilpotent orbit.
($\mathcal{VA}(I) = Z(I)$, when we consider I to be contained in $S(\mathfrak{g}^*) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$.)
- In summary:
 $\{\text{simple } \mathfrak{g}\text{-modules}\} \rightsquigarrow \text{Prim } U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightsquigarrow \{\text{nilpotent orbits}\}$.
- Finite W -algebras fit in quite nicely with this picture.

Primitive ideals and associated varieties

- \mathfrak{g} is a semisimple finite dimensional complex Lie algebra.
- Question: What are the simple \mathfrak{g} -modules?
- Easier question: What are the primitive ideals of $U(\mathfrak{g})$?
(An ideal in some algebra is *primitive* if it is the annihilator of a simple module).
- Duflo: Every primitive ideal in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is equal to the annihilator of a simple highest weight module.
- Joseph: If I is a primitive ideal $U(\mathfrak{g})$ then the associated variety $\mathcal{VA}(I) = \overline{G \cdot e}$, the closure of a nilpotent orbit.
($\mathcal{VA}(I) = Z(I)$, when we consider I to be contained in $S(\mathfrak{g}^*) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$.)
- In summary:
 $\{\text{simple } \mathfrak{g}\text{-modules}\} \rightarrow \text{Prim } U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \{\text{nilpotent orbits}\}$.
- Finite W -algebras fit in quite nicely with this picture.

Primitive ideals and associated varieties

- \mathfrak{g} is a semisimple finite dimensional complex Lie algebra.
- Question: What are the simple \mathfrak{g} -modules?
- Easier question: What are the primitive ideals of $U(\mathfrak{g})$?
(An ideal in some algebra is *primitive* if it is the annihilator of a simple module).
- Duflo: Every primitive ideal in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is equal to the annihilator of a simple highest weight module.
- Joseph: If I is a primitive ideal $U(\mathfrak{g})$ then the associated variety $\mathcal{VA}(I) = \overline{G \cdot e}$, the closure of a nilpotent orbit.
($\mathcal{VA}(I) = Z(I)$, when we consider I to be contained in $S(\mathfrak{g}^*) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$.)
- In summary:
 $\{\text{simple } \mathfrak{g}\text{-modules}\} \twoheadrightarrow \text{Prim } U(\mathfrak{g}) \twoheadrightarrow \{\text{nilpotent orbits}\}$.
- Finite W -algebras fit in quite nicely with this picture.

Primitive ideals and associated varieties

- \mathfrak{g} is a semisimple finite dimensional complex Lie algebra.
- Question: What are the simple \mathfrak{g} -modules?
- Easier question: What are the primitive ideals of $U(\mathfrak{g})$?
(An ideal in some algebra is *primitive* if it is the annihilator of a simple module).
- Duflo: Every primitive ideal in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is equal to the annihilator of a simple highest weight module.
- Joseph: If I is a primitive ideal $U(\mathfrak{g})$ then the associated variety $\mathcal{VA}(I) = \overline{G \cdot e}$, the closure of a nilpotent orbit.
($\mathcal{VA}(I) = Z(I)$, when we consider I to be contained in $S(\mathfrak{g}^*) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$.)
- In summary:
 $\{\text{simple } \mathfrak{g}\text{-modules}\} \twoheadrightarrow \text{Prim } U(\mathfrak{g}) \twoheadrightarrow \{\text{nilpotent orbits}\}$.
- Finite W -algebras fit in quite nicely with this picture.

Finite W -algebras

- A finite W -algebra is denoted $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ where e is a nilpotent element of \mathfrak{g} .

Extreme cases: $U(\mathfrak{g}, 0) = U(\mathfrak{g})$
 $U(\mathfrak{g}, e_{\text{reg}}) \cong Z(\mathfrak{g})$ (Kostant)

- In general we can think of $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ as living somewhere between $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$.
- $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ embeds into $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ and the center of $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ is $Z(\mathfrak{g})$.
- $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ is a deformation of $U(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ (and also of $S(\mathfrak{g}^e)$), where $\mathfrak{g}^e = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, e] = 0\}$.

- A finite W -algebra is denoted $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ where e is a nilpotent element of \mathfrak{g} .

Extreme cases: $U(\mathfrak{g}, 0) = U(\mathfrak{g})$
 $U(\mathfrak{g}, e_{\text{reg}}) \cong Z(\mathfrak{g})$ (Kostant)

- In general we can think of $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ as living somewhere between $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$.
- $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ embeds into $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ and the center of $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ is $Z(\mathfrak{g})$.
- $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ is a deformation of $U(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ (and also of $S(\mathfrak{g}^e)$), where $\mathfrak{g}^e = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, e] = 0\}$.

- A finite W -algebra is denoted $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ where e is a nilpotent element of \mathfrak{g} .

Extreme cases: $U(\mathfrak{g}, 0) = U(\mathfrak{g})$
 $U(\mathfrak{g}, e_{\text{reg}}) \cong Z(\mathfrak{g})$ (Kostant)

- In general we can think of $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ as living somewhere between $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$.
- $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ embeds into $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ and the center of $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ is $Z(\mathfrak{g})$.
- $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ is a deformation of $U(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ (and also of $S(\mathfrak{g}^e)$), where $\mathfrak{g}^e = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, e] = 0\}$.

- A finite W -algebra is denoted $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ where e is a nilpotent element of \mathfrak{g} .

Extreme cases: $U(\mathfrak{g}, 0) = U(\mathfrak{g})$
 $U(\mathfrak{g}, e_{\text{reg}}) \cong Z(\mathfrak{g})$ (Kostant)

- In general we can think of $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ as living somewhere between $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$.
- $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ embeds into $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ and the center of $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ is $Z(\mathfrak{g})$.
- $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ is a deformation of $U(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ (and also of $S(\mathfrak{g}^e)$), where $\mathfrak{g}^e = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, e] = 0\}$.

- A finite W -algebra is denoted $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ where e is a nilpotent element of \mathfrak{g} .

Extreme cases: $U(\mathfrak{g}, 0) = U(\mathfrak{g})$
 $U(\mathfrak{g}, e_{\text{reg}}) \cong Z(\mathfrak{g})$ (Kostant)

- In general we can think of $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ as living somewhere between $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$.
- $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ embeds into $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ and the center of $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ is $Z(\mathfrak{g})$.
- $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ is a deformation of $U(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ (and also of $S(\mathfrak{g}^e)$), where $\mathfrak{g}^e = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, e] = 0\}$.

Definition of finite W algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$

- Start with nilpotent $e \in \mathfrak{g}$. By Jacobson-Morozov Theorem, e embeds in to \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple (e, h, f) .
- Let (\cdot, \cdot) denote a non-degenerate equivariant symmetric bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} .
- \mathfrak{sl}_2 representation theory implies that $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}(i)$, where $\mathfrak{g}(i) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, x] = ix\}$.
- Define $\chi : \mathfrak{g}(\leq -1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ via $\chi(m) = (e, m)$.
- Let \mathfrak{l} be a maximal isotropic subspace of $\mathfrak{g}(-1)$ under the form $\langle x, y \rangle = \chi([x, y])$, and let \mathfrak{l}^\perp be the complementary maximal isotropic subspace
- Let $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g}(\geq 0) \oplus \mathfrak{l}$, let $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}(< -1) \oplus \mathfrak{l}^\perp$.
- Let I be the left ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $\{m - \chi(m) \mid m \in \mathfrak{m}\}$.
- $U(\mathfrak{g}, e) = (U(\mathfrak{g})/I)^{\mathfrak{n}} = \{u + I \in U(\mathfrak{g})/I \mid [\mathfrak{n}, u] \subseteq I\}$

Representation theory of finite W -algebras

- $U(\mathfrak{g})/I$ is a $(U(\mathfrak{g}), U(\mathfrak{g}, e))$ -bimodule, so there exists a functor $S : U(\mathfrak{g}, e)\text{-mod} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}$, $V \mapsto U(\mathfrak{g})/I \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}, e)} V$. A result of Skryabin says that S is a categorical equivalence between $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)\text{-mod}$ and *Whittaker modules for e* , ie modules on which $m - \chi(m)$ acts locally nilpotently for all $m \in \mathfrak{m}$.
- Losev has defined a map

$$\cdot^\dagger : \text{Prim } U(\mathfrak{g}, e) \rightarrow \text{Prim } U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

- This map restricts to a surjection:

$$\cdot^\dagger : \text{Prim}_{\text{fd}} U(\mathfrak{g}, e) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Prim}_{\overline{G \cdot e}} U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

- The fibers of this map are Γ -orbits, where $\Gamma = G^e / (G^e)^\circ$.
- This suggests that the finite dimensional representation theory of $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ should be able to tell us something about the infinite dimensional representation theory of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Representation theory of finite W -algebras

- $U(\mathfrak{g})/I$ is a $(U(\mathfrak{g}), U(\mathfrak{g}, e))$ -bimodule, so there exists a functor $S : U(\mathfrak{g}, e)\text{-mod} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}$, $V \mapsto U(\mathfrak{g})/I \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}, e)} V$. A result of Skryabin says that S is a categorical equivalence between $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)\text{-mod}$ and *Whittaker modules for e* , ie modules on which $m - \chi(m)$ acts locally nilpotently for all $m \in \mathfrak{m}$.
- Losev has defined a map

$$\cdot^\dagger : \text{Prim } U(\mathfrak{g}, e) \rightarrow \text{Prim } U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

- This map restricts to a surjection:

$$\cdot^\dagger : \text{Prim}_{\text{fd}} U(\mathfrak{g}, e) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Prim}_{\overline{G \cdot e}} U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

- The fibers of this map are Γ -orbits, where $\Gamma = G^e / (G^e)^\circ$.
- This suggests that the finite dimensional representation theory of $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ should be able to tell us something about the infinite dimensional representation theory of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Representation theory of finite W -algebras

- $U(\mathfrak{g})/I$ is a $(U(\mathfrak{g}), U(\mathfrak{g}, e))$ -bimodule, so there exists a functor $S : U(\mathfrak{g}, e)\text{-mod} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}$, $V \mapsto U(\mathfrak{g})/I \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}, e)} V$. A result of Skryabin says that S is a categorical equivalence between $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)\text{-mod}$ and *Whittaker modules for e* , ie modules on which $m - \chi(m)$ acts locally nilpotently for all $m \in \mathfrak{m}$.
- Losev has defined a map

$$\cdot^\dagger : \text{Prim } U(\mathfrak{g}, e) \rightarrow \text{Prim } U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

- This map restricts to a surjection:

$$\cdot^\dagger : \text{Prim}_{\text{fd}} U(\mathfrak{g}, e) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Prim}_{\overline{G \cdot e}} U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

- The fibers of this map are Γ -orbits, where $\Gamma = G^e / (G^e)^\circ$.
- This suggests that the finite dimensional representation theory of $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ should be able to tell us something about the infinite dimensional representation theory of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Representation theory of finite W -algebras

- $U(\mathfrak{g})/I$ is a $(U(\mathfrak{g}), U(\mathfrak{g}, e))$ -bimodule, so there exists a functor $S : U(\mathfrak{g}, e)\text{-mod} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}$, $V \mapsto U(\mathfrak{g})/I \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}, e)} V$. A result of Skryabin says that S is a categorical equivalence between $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)\text{-mod}$ and *Whittaker modules for e* , ie modules on which $m - \chi(m)$ acts locally nilpotently for all $m \in \mathfrak{m}$.
- Losev has defined a map

$$\cdot^\dagger : \text{Prim } U(\mathfrak{g}, e) \rightarrow \text{Prim } U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

- This map restricts to a surjection:

$$\cdot^\dagger : \text{Prim}_{\text{fd}} U(\mathfrak{g}, e) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Prim}_{\overline{G \cdot e}} U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

- The fibers of this map are Γ -orbits, where $\Gamma = G^e / (G^e)^\circ$.
- This suggests that the finite dimensional representation theory of $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ should be able to tell us something about the infinite dimensional representation theory of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Representation theory of finite W -algebras

- $U(\mathfrak{g})/I$ is a $(U(\mathfrak{g}), U(\mathfrak{g}, e))$ -bimodule, so there exists a functor $S : U(\mathfrak{g}, e)\text{-mod} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}$, $V \mapsto U(\mathfrak{g})/I \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}, e)} V$. A result of Skryabin says that S is a categorical equivalence between $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)\text{-mod}$ and *Whittaker modules for e* , ie modules on which $m - \chi(m)$ acts locally nilpotently for all $m \in \mathfrak{m}$.
- Losev has defined a map

$$\cdot^\dagger : \text{Prim } U(\mathfrak{g}, e) \rightarrow \text{Prim } U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

- This map restricts to a surjection:

$$\cdot^\dagger : \text{Prim}_{\text{fd}} U(\mathfrak{g}, e) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Prim}_{\overline{G \cdot e}} U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

- The fibers of this map are Γ -orbits, where $\Gamma = G^e / (G^e)^\circ$.
- This suggests that the finite dimensional representation theory of $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ should be able to tell us something about the infinite dimensional representation theory of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Induction of ideals and orbits

- Ideals in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and nilpotent orbits can be induced from Levi subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} .
- Let \mathfrak{g}' be a Levi subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{p} be a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ for some nilpotent subalgebra \mathfrak{u} .
- If \mathcal{O}' is a nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{g}' , then the \mathcal{O} is the orbit induced from \mathcal{O}' is the unique nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathcal{O} \cap (\mathcal{O}' + \mathfrak{u})$ is open in $\mathcal{O}' + \mathfrak{u}$.
- If I' is an ideal of \mathfrak{g}' , then we define the induced ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I')$ to be the largest two-sided ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ which contained in the left ideal $U(\mathfrak{g})(\mathfrak{u} + I')$.
- If $I' = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g}')} (M')$ for some simple \mathfrak{g}' -module M' , then $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I') = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} M')$
- Even if I' is primitive, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I')$ need not be.
- However if I' is a *completely prime* primitive ideal, then $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I')$ will be as well.

Induction of ideals and orbits

- Ideals in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and nilpotent orbits can be induced from Levi subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} .
- Let \mathfrak{g}' be a Levi subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{p} be a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ for some nilpotent subalgebra \mathfrak{u} .
- If \mathcal{O}' is a nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{g}' , then the \mathcal{O} is the orbit induced from \mathcal{O}' is the unique nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathcal{O} \cap (\mathcal{O}' + \mathfrak{u})$ is open in $\mathcal{O}' + \mathfrak{u}$.
- If I' is an ideal of \mathfrak{g}' , then we define the induced ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(I')$ to be the largest two-sided ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ which contained in the left ideal $U(\mathfrak{g})(\mathfrak{u} + I')$.
- If $I' = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g}')} (M')$ for some simple \mathfrak{g}' -module M' , then $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(I') = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} M')$
- Even if I' is primitive, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(I')$ need not be.
- However if I' is a *completely prime* primitive ideal, then $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{g}'}(I')$ will be as well.

Induction of ideals and orbits

- Ideals in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and nilpotent orbits can be induced from Levi subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} .
- Let \mathfrak{g}' be a Levi subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{p} be a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ for some nilpotent subalgebra \mathfrak{u} .
- If \mathcal{O}' is a nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{g}' , then the \mathcal{O} is the orbit induced from \mathcal{O}' is the unique nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathcal{O} \cap (\mathcal{O}' + \mathfrak{u})$ is open in $\mathcal{O}' + \mathfrak{u}$.
- If I' is an ideal of \mathfrak{g}' , then we define the induced ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I')$ to be the largest two-sided ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ which contained in the left ideal $U(\mathfrak{g})(\mathfrak{u} + I')$.
- If $I' = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g}')} (M')$ for some simple \mathfrak{g}' -module M' , then $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I') = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} M')$
- Even if I' is primitive, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I')$ need not be.
- However if I' is a *completely prime* primitive ideal, then $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I')$ will be as well.

Induction of ideals and orbits

- Ideals in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and nilpotent orbits can be induced from Levi subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} .
- Let \mathfrak{g}' be a Levi subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{p} be a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ for some nilpotent subalgebra \mathfrak{u} .
- If \mathcal{O}' is a nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{g}' , then the \mathcal{O} is the orbit induced from \mathcal{O}' is the unique nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathcal{O} \cap (\mathcal{O}' + \mathfrak{u})$ is open in $\mathcal{O}' + \mathfrak{u}$.
- If I' is an ideal of \mathfrak{g}' , then we define the induced ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I')$ to be the largest two-sided ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ which contained in the left ideal $U(\mathfrak{g})(\mathfrak{u} + I')$.
- If $I' = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g}')} (M')$ for some simple \mathfrak{g}' -module M' , then $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I') = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} M')$
- Even if I' is primitive, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I')$ need not be.
- However if I' is a *completely prime* primitive ideal, then $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I')$ will be as well.

Induction of ideals and orbits

- Ideals in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and nilpotent orbits can be induced from Levi subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} .
- Let \mathfrak{g}' be a Levi subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{p} be a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ for some nilpotent subalgebra \mathfrak{u} .
- If \mathcal{O}' is a nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{g}' , then the \mathcal{O} is the orbit induced from \mathcal{O}' is the unique nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathcal{O} \cap (\mathcal{O}' + \mathfrak{u})$ is open in $\mathcal{O}' + \mathfrak{u}$.
- If I' is an ideal of \mathfrak{g}' , then we define the induced ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I')$ to be the largest two-sided ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ which contained in the left ideal $U(\mathfrak{g})(\mathfrak{u} + I')$.
- If $I' = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g}')} (M')$ for some simple \mathfrak{g}' -module M' , then $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I') = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} M')$
- Even if I' is primitive, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I')$ need not be.
- However if I' is a *completely prime* primitive ideal, then $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I')$ will be as well.

Induction of ideals and orbits

- Ideals in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and nilpotent orbits can be induced from Levi subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} .
- Let \mathfrak{g}' be a Levi subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{p} be a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ for some nilpotent subalgebra \mathfrak{u} .
- If \mathcal{O}' is a nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{g}' , then the \mathcal{O} is the orbit induced from \mathcal{O}' is the unique nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathcal{O} \cap (\mathcal{O}' + \mathfrak{u})$ is open in $\mathcal{O}' + \mathfrak{u}$.
- If I' is an ideal of \mathfrak{g}' , then we define the induced ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I')$ to be the largest two-sided ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ which contained in the left ideal $U(\mathfrak{g})(\mathfrak{u} + I')$.
- If $I' = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g}')} (M')$ for some simple \mathfrak{g}' -module M' , then $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I') = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} M')$
- Even if I' is primitive, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I')$ need not be.
- However if I' is a *completely prime* primitive ideal, then $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I')$ will be as well.

Induction of ideals and orbits

- Ideals in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and nilpotent orbits can be induced from Levi subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} .
- Let \mathfrak{g}' be a Levi subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{p} be a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ for some nilpotent subalgebra \mathfrak{u} .
- If \mathcal{O}' is a nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{g}' , then the \mathcal{O} is the orbit induced from \mathcal{O}' is the unique nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathcal{O} \cap (\mathcal{O}' + \mathfrak{u})$ is open in $\mathcal{O}' + \mathfrak{u}$.
- If I' is an ideal of \mathfrak{g}' , then we define the induced ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I')$ to be the largest two-sided ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ which contained in the left ideal $U(\mathfrak{g})(\mathfrak{u} + I')$.
- If $I' = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g}')} (M')$ for some simple \mathfrak{g}' -module M' , then $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I') = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} M')$
- Even if I' is primitive, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I')$ need not be.
- However if I' is a *completely prime* primitive ideal, then $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}}(I')$ will be as well.

Completely prime and multiplicity-free primitive ideals

- An ideal I in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is *completely prime* if $U(\mathfrak{g})/I$ has no zero-divisors.
- The classification of completely prime primitive ideals of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is still an open problem outside of type A.
- Outside of type A, not every completely prime primitive ideal can be induced from a proper Levi subalgebra.
- However Premet and Topley have shown nearly every *multiplicity free* primitive ideal can be induced.

Completely prime and multiplicity-free primitive ideals

- An ideal I in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is *completely prime* if $U(\mathfrak{g})/I$ has no zero-divisors.
- The classification of completely prime primitive ideals of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is still an open problem outside of type A.
- Outside of type A, not every completely prime primitive ideal can be induced from a proper Levi subalgebra.
- However Premet and Topley have shown nearly every *multiplicity free* primitive ideal can be induced.

Completely prime and multiplicity-free primitive ideals

- An ideal I in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is *completely prime* if $U(\mathfrak{g})/I$ has no zero-divisors.
- The classification of completely prime primitive ideals of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is still an open problem outside of type A.
- Outside of type A, not every completely prime primitive ideal can be induced from a proper Levi subalgebra.
- However Premet and Topley have shown nearly every *multiplicity free* primitive ideal can be induced.

Completely prime and multiplicity-free primitive ideals

- An ideal I in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is *completely prime* if $U(\mathfrak{g})/I$ has no zero-divisors.
- The classification of completely prime primitive ideals of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is still an open problem outside of type A.
- Outside of type A, not every completely prime primitive ideal can be induced from a proper Levi subalgebra.
- However Premet and Topley have shown nearly every *multiplicity free* primitive ideal can be induced.

Completely prime primitive ideals and 1-dimensional $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ -modules

- Let \mathcal{E} denote the variety of 1-dimensional $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ -modules, and let \mathcal{E}^Γ denote Γ -invariant elements of \mathcal{E} .
- Premet has shown that under Skryabin's equivalence, elements of \mathcal{E} correspond to completely prime primitive ideals in $U(\mathfrak{g})$.
- Premet and Losev have shown that under Skryabin's equivalence, elements of \mathcal{E}^Γ bijectively correspond to *multiplicity free completely prime primitive ideals* in $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Completely prime primitive ideals and 1-dimensional $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ -modules

- Let \mathcal{E} denote the variety of 1-dimensional $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ -modules, and let \mathcal{E}^Γ denote Γ -invariant elements of \mathcal{E} .
- Premet has shown that under Skryabin's equivalence, elements of \mathcal{E} correspond to completely prime primitive ideals in $U(\mathfrak{g})$.
- Premet and Losev have shown that under Skryabin's equivalence, elements of \mathcal{E}^Γ bijectively correspond to *multiplicity free completely prime primitive ideals* in $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Completely prime primitive ideals and 1-dimensional $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ -modules

- Let \mathcal{E} denote the variety of 1-dimensional $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ -modules, and let \mathcal{E}^Γ denote Γ -invariant elements of \mathcal{E} .
- Premet has shown that under Skryabin's equivalence, elements of \mathcal{E} correspond to completely prime primitive ideals in $U(\mathfrak{g})$.
- Premet and Losev have shown that under Skryabin's equivalence, elements of \mathcal{E}^Γ bijectively correspond to *multiplicity free completely prime primitive ideals* in $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Completely prime primitive ideals and 1-dimensional $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ -modules

Theorem (Premet, Topley)

Let \mathfrak{g} be any semi-simple Lie algebra, and let $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ be an induced nilpotent element. Let $n = \dim(\mathfrak{g}^e / [\mathfrak{g}^e, \mathfrak{g}^e])^\Gamma$. If $(\mathfrak{g}, G \cdot e)$ is not one of the six cases listed below, then $\mathcal{E}^\Gamma \cong \mathbb{C}^n$.

Corollary (Premet, Topley)

If $I \subseteq U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a multiplicity free primitive ideal whose associated variety is induced from nilpotent orbit in a proper Levi subalgebra and is not one of the six cases listed below, then I is induced from an ideal in a proper Levi subalgebra.

- Bala-Carter labels of unresolved cases: $(F_4, C_3(a_1))$, $(E_6, A_3 + A_1)$, $(E_7, D_6(a_2))$, $(E_8, E_6(a_3) + A_1)$, $(E_8, D_6(a_2))$, $(E_8, E_7(a_2))$, $(E_8, E_7(a_5))$.

Completely prime primitive ideals and 1-dimensional $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ -modules

Theorem (Premet, Topley)

Let \mathfrak{g} be any semi-simple Lie algebra, and let $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ be an induced nilpotent element. Let $n = \dim(\mathfrak{g}^e / [\mathfrak{g}^e, \mathfrak{g}^e])^\Gamma$. If $(\mathfrak{g}, G \cdot e)$ is not one of the six cases listed below, then $\mathcal{E}^\Gamma \cong \mathbb{C}^n$.

Corollary (Premet, Topley)

If $I \subseteq U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a multiplicity free primitive ideal whose associated variety is induced from nilpotent orbit in a proper Levi subalgebra and is not one of the six cases listed below, then I is induced from an ideal in a proper Levi subalgebra.

- Bala-Carter labels of unresolved cases: $(F_4, C_3(a_1))$, $(E_6, A_3 + A_1)$, $(E_7, D_6(a_2))$, $(E_8, E_6(a_3) + A_1)$, $(E_8, D_6(a_2))$, $(E_8, E_7(a_2))$, $(E_8, E_7(a_5))$.

Completely prime primitive ideals and 1-dimensional $U(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ -modules

Theorem (Premet, Topley)

Let \mathfrak{g} be any semi-simple Lie algebra, and let $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ be an induced nilpotent element. Let $n = \dim(\mathfrak{g}^e / [\mathfrak{g}^e, \mathfrak{g}^e])^\Gamma$. If $(\mathfrak{g}, G \cdot e)$ is not one of the six cases listed below, then $\mathcal{E}^\Gamma \cong \mathbb{C}^n$.

Corollary (Premet, Topley)

If $I \subseteq U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a multiplicity free primitive ideal whose associated variety is induced from nilpotent orbit in a proper Levi subalgebra and is not one of the six cases listed below, then I is induced from an ideal in a proper Levi subalgebra.

- Bala-Carter labels of unresolved cases: $(F_4, C_3(a_1))$, $(E_6, A_3 + A_1)$, $(E_7, D_6(a_2))$, $(E_8, E_6(a_3) + A_1)$, $(E_8, D_6(a_2))$, $(E_8, E_7(a_2))$, $(E_8, E_7(a_5))$.

Our contribution

- Except for the 6 cases listed above, Premet and Topley calculated \mathcal{E}^Γ for all induced nilpotent orbits in semi-simple finite dimensional Lie algebras.
- Our goal: Use computers to calculate \mathcal{E} , as well as \mathcal{E}^Γ in the cases Premet and Topley did not do.
- This is only feasible in low rank.

Our contribution

- Except for the 6 cases listed above, Premet and Topley calculated \mathcal{E}^Γ for all induced nilpotent orbits in semi-simple finite dimensional Lie algebras.
- Our goal: Use computers to calculate \mathcal{E} , as well as \mathcal{E}^Γ in the cases Premet and Topley did not do.
- This is only feasible in low rank.

Our contribution

- Except for the 6 cases listed above, Premet and Topley calculated \mathcal{E}^Γ for all induced nilpotent orbits in semi-simple finite dimensional Lie algebras.
- Our goal: Use computers to calculate \mathcal{E} , as well as \mathcal{E}^Γ in the cases Premet and Topley did not do.
- This is only feasible in low rank.

Results

Type	Orbit	Γ	\mathcal{E}	\mathcal{E}^Γ
C_2	(2,2)	S_2	$\mathbb{C} \sqcup \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^0$	\mathbb{C}
C_3	(4,2)	S_2	$\mathbb{C}^2 \sqcup \mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{C}$	\mathbb{C}^2
B_3	(5,1,1)	S_2	$\mathbb{C}^2 \sqcup \mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{C}$	\mathbb{C}^2
C_4	(4,2,2)	S_2	$\mathbb{C}^2 \sqcup \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^0$	\mathbb{C}^2
C_4	(6,2)	S_2	$\mathbb{C}^3 \sqcup \mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{C}^2$	\mathbb{C}^3
B_4	(7,1,1)	S_2	$\mathbb{C}^3 \sqcup \mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{C}^2$	\mathbb{C}^3
B_4	(5,3,1)	$S_2 \times S_2$	Three \mathbb{C}^2 's which intersect at a point and pairwise intersect at lines	\mathbb{C}^2
D_4	(3,3,1,1)	S_2	$\mathbb{C}^2 \sqcup \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^0$	\mathbb{C}
G_2	$G_2(a_1)$	S_3	$\mathbb{C} \sqcup \mathbb{C} \sqcup \mathbb{C} \sqcup \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^0$	\mathbb{C}
F_4	$C_3(a_1)$	S_2	$\mathbb{C} \sqcup \mathbb{C}^0 \sqcup \mathbb{C}^0 \sqcup \mathbb{C}^0$	$\mathbb{C} \sqcup \mathbb{C}^0$
F_4	$F_4(a_1)$	S_2	$\mathbb{C}^3 \sqcup \mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{C}^2$	\mathbb{C}^3
F_4	$F_4(a_2)$	S_2	$\mathbb{C}^2 \sqcup \mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{C}$	\mathbb{C}^2
F_4	$F_4(a_3)$	S_4	$((\bigsqcup_{i=1}^5 \mathbb{C}) \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{C}^2)) / \mathbb{C}^0$	\mathbb{C}
E_6	$A_3 + A_1$	1	$\mathbb{C} \sqcup \mathbb{C}^0$	$\mathbb{C} \sqcup \mathbb{C}^0$
E_6	$E_6(a_3)$	S_2	$\mathbb{C}^4 \sqcup \mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{C}^2$	\mathbb{C}^3
E_6	$D_4(a_1)$	S_3	$\mathbb{C}^2 \sqcup \mathbb{C}^2 \sqcup \mathbb{C}^2 \sqcup \mathbb{C}^2 \sqcup \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^0$	\mathbb{C}

Theorem (B., Goodwin)

Let \mathfrak{g} be of type F_4 and \mathcal{O} with Bala–Carter label $C_3(a_1)$, or let \mathfrak{g} be of type E_6 and \mathcal{O} with Bala–Carter label $A_3 + A_1$. Then there is a multiplicity free primitive ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ with associated variety $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ that cannot be induced from a primitive ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g}')$ for any proper Levi subalgebra \mathfrak{g}' of \mathfrak{g} .